## **AHRMM Fellow Paper Evaluation Rubric**

Candidate:		Date Submitte	ed:	Overall Acceptance Recommendation Place a check mark in the appropriate box below
Evaluator Name: Pa	trick Tabor, FACHE, FAHRI	MM Date of Evalua	ation:	ACCEPT $oxtimes$ ACCEPT WITH REVISIONS $oxtimes$ REJECT $oxtimes$
	Place a check mark in the appropriate boxes below and write your comments in the last column to the right.  EXCEPTIONAL SATISFACTORY RE-WORK			COMMENTS – Begin your comment with a rating: Exceptional, Satisfactory, or Re-Work
CONTENT: Purpose, Thesis, Controlling Idea, and/or Mapping Statement; applicable to array of entities	Author provided a clear and well developed thesis/argument for the paper. Paper had a clear mapping statement.	☐ Purpose was understood. Clear and concise development. Thesis/mapping statement could be	☐ Simplistic idea; thesis was unclear, missing or not discernible.	I thought this was an exceptional example of how smaller facilities can use simple strategies to maximize their inventory and operations. It can be used as a roadmap on "how to" perform these tasks and the resulting benefits.
EVIDENCE: Analysis, Problem-Solving, Conclusions	☐ Thesis/argument and main points addressed, accurate and supported with author's points and references used in the appropriate context.  Alternative points of view presented.	developed further.  Narrative well-constructed, insightful, and thought provoking. Evidence provided but limited. Original conclusions supported by applicable and reputable sources.	☐ Evidence insufficient or not clear. Main points were not supported. References were not relevant, did not support main points or were used in wrong context.	Many examples were provided that would be a good road map for smaller facilities to use. Explains how technology and current methodology for order placement and tracking can be maximized. He addresses inventory analysis and obsolescence, essentially how to utilize a plan to mitigate issues in managing the inventory to the best desirable levels. He further talks about PAR levels and requisition management. Essentially, basics of what an ideal supply chain program should target for smaller facilities.
Structure/Organization	☐ Sequential and logical development of thesis, problem statement and methodology. Ideas well-articulated and paragraphs linked.	☐ Paper logically organized, clear development of thesis and supporting ideas. Ideas and paragraphs flow well.	☐ Structure unclear or confusing. Paragraphs are weak; transitions missing and/or illogical.	I thought the structure was good and informative. He had good stats although how it compared to other facilities might have provided more meaning to the data. Overall graphs and data were very supportive and helpful.
Style/Format	☐ Paper was in APA format. Sources referenced APA style.	☐ Paper contained format errors, but was in APA format.	☐ Paper was not in APA format. Sources incorrectly cited.	No issue with the style of format was noted.
Grammar, Spelling, and Mechanics	☑ Proper grammar used; no spelling errors; and tone consistent and appropriate.	☐ Limited grammar and/or spelling errors. Tone and/or tense shifted.	☐ Misspelled words, jargon, acronyms present. Incorrect grammar used.	Overall no issue with Grammar or mechanics was noted.
OVERALL PAPER ASSESSMENT	1. Recommend for Publication: YES ⊠ NO □ 2. The intersection of CQO is evident: YES ⊠ NO □ 3. Recommend for FAHRMM designation: YES ⊠ NO □			Comments/elaboration (continue onto the next page, as needed) I enjoyed the paper and was able to identify with the strategies having worked at a smaller facility for a number of years. I like the paper and thought he did a good job providing explanation.